



# Oculudentavis

*Oculudentavis* is an extinct genus of lizard of uncertain taxonomic placement,<sup>[1]</sup> originally identified as an avialan dinosaur (bird, in the broad sense).<sup>[2]</sup> It contains two known species, *O. khaungraae* and *O. naga*. Each species is known from one partial fossil specimen in Burmese amber, which differ in several proportions.<sup>[3]</sup> Their skulls measure 1.4–1.7 centimetres (0.55–0.67 in) in length, indicating that *Oculudentavis* would have been comparable in size with the modern bee hummingbird if it were an avialan. Both specimens were retrieved from 99-million-year-old deposits of the Hukawng Basin in Kachin State, northern Myanmar. The type specimen of *O. khaungraae* is embroiled in controversy regarding its identity and the ethical issues surrounding the acquisition and study of Burmese amber. The original description advocating for an avialan identity was published in *Nature*, but has since then been retracted from the journal.<sup>[4]</sup>

## Discovery and naming

*Oculudentavis khaungraae* is known from a complete skull preserved in Burmese amber, found at the Angbamo site in Tanai Township, Kachin State, northern Myanmar. The genus name *Oculudentavis* was chosen to include the combination of the words *oculus*, *dentes*, and *avis*. These Latin words translate to "eye", "teeth", and "bird" respectively. The specific name honors Khaung Ra, the woman who donated the piece of amber to the Hupoge Amber Museum for study. Presently, the holotype is cataloged as HPG-15-3 in the Hupoge Amber Museum.<sup>[2]</sup>

Later that year, an unpublished preprint attributed a second specimen (GRS-Ref-286278) to the genus.<sup>[5][6]</sup> Subsequently, in 2021, it was published in the journal *Current Biology*. This specimen, which consists of the skull and the front portion of the torso, was found in the same mine as the holotype of *O. khaungraae*. It was named as a different species, *O. naga*, after the local Naga people of Myanmar (who historically played a prominent role in the amber trade).<sup>[1]</sup>

## Description

The holotype skull of *O. khaungraae* was 1.73 centimetres (0.68 in) in length, while the holotype skull of *O. naga* was 1.42 centimetres (0.56 in) in length; the length of the former was initially misreported as 1.4 centimetres (0.55 in).<sup>[1]</sup> The animal would have been comparable in size with the modern bee hummingbird, the smallest known living dinosaur.<sup>[2]</sup>

It had a slender snout and a bulbous skull roof, as well as a long tooth row of twenty-three teeth. The orbits were very large and had a thick sclerotic ring formed from unusual spoon-shaped sclerotic ossicles. This indicates that it was likely a diurnal animal, active mostly during the day. The eyes bulge sideways according to an outwardly slanted jugal (cheekbone), indicating that it did not possess binocular vision. It may have had a relatively strong bite and a specialized diet of small invertebrates, based on its sharp teeth, extensively textured mouth skin, tall coronoid process, and robust, inflexible skull.<sup>[2]</sup>

*Oculudentavis* had a collection of plesiomorphic ("primitive") and advanced traits compared with Mesozoic avialans. For example, it retains separate frontal, parietal, postorbital, and squamosal bones, which are fused together or lost in modern birds. The extensive tooth row is also similar to non-avialan theropods. On the other hand, it lacks a separate antorbital fenestra and the bones of the snout are elongated and fused. These features are more common among modern birds. Some traits, such as the acrodont or pleurodont tooth implantation and spoon-shaped sclerotic bones are unprecedented for dinosaurs as a whole, and instead, are common among modern lizards.<sup>[2]</sup> A patch of seemingly scaly skin occurs near the base of the skull, unusual for a bird, but consistent with a lepidosaur identity. The high tooth count and apparent lack of an antorbital fenestra or quadratojugal bone have also been used to argue against an avialan identity.<sup>[7]</sup> The two specimens are distinguished by numerous characters of the skull, though the authors of the description of *O. naga* noted that it was "possible that at least some of these differences between the two specimens are due to a combination of individual variation, taphonomical deformation, and perhaps sexual dimorphism".<sup>[1]</sup>

## Classification

Specializations caused by the small size of *Oculudentavis* led to difficulties in making precise conclusions on its classification. A phylogenetic analysis in the original description supports a basal placement for *Oculudentavis* within Avialae, only slightly closer to modern birds than *Archaeopteryx*. This suggests that a ~50 million year ghost lineage exists between the Late Jurassic and the middle of the Cretaceous. A small amount of most parsimonious trees instead suggest that it is an enantiornithea, like other birds preserved in Burmese amber.<sup>[2]</sup>

Soon after the publication of the article, a number of paleontologists have voiced skepticism on whether *Oculudentavis* is even a dinosaur, due to a much higher number of similarities with squamates than with theropods. The general skull shape is considered the largest argument in favor of bird affinities, but some living lizards (*Meroles*, *Anolis*) and extinct reptiles (*Avicranium*, *Teraterpeton*) are known to have convergently evolved a bird-like skull shape. The usage of a bird-focused phylogenetic analysis (without considering lizards) also has been criticized. The editors of the Institute of Vertebrate Paleontology and Paleoanthropology's *Fanpu* publication have published an editorial arguing for an interpretation of *Oculudentavis* as a lizard rather than an avialan.<sup>[7]</sup>

**Oculudentavis**

Temporal range: Cenomanian, 99.41–98.17 Ma

Drawing of the type specimens of *O. khaungraae* (left) and *O. naga* (right)

**Scientific classification**

Kingdom: Animalia  
Phylum: Chordata  
Class: Reptilia  
Order: Squamata  
Genus: †*Oculudentavis*  
Xing *et al.*, 2020

**Type species**

† (Extinct) *Oculudentavis khaungraae*  
Xing *et al.*, 2020

**Other species**

- *Oculudentavis naga* Bolet *et al.*, 2021



Size of both specimens compared with human hand, bee hummingbird and *Brookesia micra*

Several additional phylogenetic analyses were conducted for the description of *O. naga*, including both of the specimens referred to the genus. Both specimens were consistently placed together in the genus *Oculudentavis*. An analysis based on a dataset of amniotes found that they were squamates. Within the squamates, analyses with a more detailed dataset variously recovered *Oculudentavis* as the sister group of (1) the Dibamidae, (2) *Scandensia*, and (3) Mosasauria, depending on whether multi-state characteristics were treated as (1) ordered or (2) unordered or if (3) molecular data was removed.<sup>[1]</sup>

## Paleoecology

Burmese amber is retrieved from the Hukawng Valley, the geographical representation of the Hukawng Basin, a large Mesozoic–Cenozoic sedimentary basin in Kachin State of northern Myanmar. The strata have undergone folding and faulting. The basin is considered to be a part of the West Burma Block or Burma Terrane, which has a debated tectonic history. The block was part of Gondwana during at least the Early Paleozoic, but the timing of rifting is very uncertain, with estimates ranging from the Devonian to Early Cretaceous. It also is disputed whether the block had accreted onto the Asian continental margin by the time of the amber deposition.<sup>[8]</sup>

Paleogeography of the late Turonian (90 Ma)  
Original map by Ron Blakey

Some members of the flora and fauna have Gondwanan affinities<sup>[9]</sup> although albanerpetonids are more typically found in the northern continents.<sup>[10]</sup> A recent paleomagnetic reconstruction finds that the Burma Terrane formed an island land mass in the Tethys Ocean during the Mid Cretaceous at a latitude around 5–10 degrees south of the equator.<sup>[11]</sup>

The amber deposits have provided a wealth of fossil flora (including mosses and bamboo-like monocots),<sup>[12]</sup> arthropods (among many others pisaurid spiders, onychophorans, dyspnoid harvestmen, and coccoid scale insects),<sup>[12]</sup> and a number of vertebrates (including well-preserved three-dimensional anatomy of skeletons and feathers),<sup>[12]</sup> that the paleoecology of the earliest Cenomanian environment has been interpreted in detail. The existence of the frog *Electrorana limoae* (the oldest record of frogs in amber),<sup>[12]</sup> the snake *Xiaophis myanmarensi*, lizard *Cretaceogekko burmae*,<sup>[13]</sup> an albanerpetonid<sup>[10]</sup> and several enantiornitheans including *Elektorornis* and undescribed specimens,<sup>[14]</sup> suggest a humid, warm, tropical forest ecosystem that contained at least some freshwater habitats. The presence of ammonites and marine ostracods suggest that some of the amber-bearing forests existed near the shore of a marine environment.<sup>[12]</sup>

*Myanmymar aresconoides*

Zircons in the tuffs of the formation in which the Burmese amber has been found have been U–Pb dated to  $98.8 \pm 0.6$  Ma, or the Cenomanian epoch of the earliest Late Cretaceous.<sup>[12][15]</sup>

## Controversy

### Ethics of Burmese amber

Upon the high-profile release of the paper, which was featured on the front cover of *Nature*, several palaeontologists renewed discussion around controversies related to Burmese amber, which were first raised in 2019. These include poor working conditions for miners (many of whom are underage), and allegations that the high-end trade of Burmese amber helps to fund the Kachin conflict, akin to blood diamonds.<sup>[16][3][17]</sup> As of April 2020, the Society of Vertebrate Paleontology has discouraged its members from collecting and studying Burmese amber due to the connections between the resource and human rights abuse.<sup>[18][19]</sup>

### Scientific criticism

In response to the paper's taxonomic identification of *Oculudentavis*, critics such as Wang *et al.* also have noted a deliberate use of ambiguous language by the authors — in particular, the statement that *Oculudentavis* is "bird-like" as opposed to being a bird, and the admission that "there is a strong potential for new data to markedly alter [their] systematic conclusion". The decision of the authors to assume that *Oculudentavis* is a bird *a priori*, without testing other possible positions, for their morphological description and phylogenetic analysis also was called "illogical" by Wang *et al.*, who noted that the rejection of this hypothesis would compromise the paper's conclusions and significance.<sup>[7]</sup> On July 22, 2020, the original *Nature* article describing the genus was retracted.<sup>[20][21]</sup> This retraction was motivated by the type specimen of *O. naga*, which opposes the paper's hypothesis that the original specimen is an avialan.<sup>[4]</sup>

## See also

- Biogeography of paravian dinosaurs
- Origin of birds
- Paraves
- Vertebrates in Burmese amber

## References

- Bolet *et al.*, 2021
- Xing *et al.*, 2020
- Witton, 2020
- Xing *et al.*, 2020 retraction
- Bolet *et al.*, 2020
- Greshko, 2020
- Wang *et al.*, 2020
- Metcalfe, 2017
- Poinar, 2018

- Matsumoto et al., 2018
- Westerweel et al., 2019
- Xing et al., 2018
- Arnold & Poinar, 2008
- Angbamo, Kachin, Myanmar in the Paleobiology Database
- Shi et al., 2012, p.162
- Gammon, 2020
- Joel, 2020
- SVP, 2020a
- SVP, 2020b
- Sapunar, 2020
- Viglione, 2020

## Bibliography

### *Oculudentavis*

- Xing, L.; O'Connor, J. K.; Schmitz, L.; Chiappe, L. M.; McKellar, R. C.; Yi, Q.; Li, G. (2020). "Hummingbird-sized dinosaur from the Cretaceous period of Myanmar". *Nature*. **579** (7798): 245–249. Bibcode:2020Natur.579..245X. doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2068-4. PMID 32161388. S2CID 212670113.
- Bolet, A.; Stanley, E. L.; Daza, J. D.; Salvador Arias, J.; Čerňanský, A.; Vidal-García, M.; Bauer, A. M.; Bevitt, J. J.; Peretti, A.; Evans, S. E. (2020). "The tiny Cretaceous stem-bird *Oculudentavis* revealed as a bizarre lizard". *bioRxiv*. 2020.08.09.243048. doi:10.1101/2020.08.09.243048.
- Bolet, A.; Stanley, E. L.; Daza, J. D.; Salvador Arias, J.; Čerňanský, A.; Vidal-García, M.; Bevitt, J. J.; Peretti, A.; Evans, S. E. (2021). "Unusual morphology in the mid-Cretaceous lizard *Oculudentavis*". *Current Biology*: in press. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2021.05.040.
- Wang, W.; Zhiheng, L.; Hu, Y.; Wang, M.; Hongyu, Y.; Lu, J. (2020). "The "smallest dinosaur in history" in amber may be the largest mix-up in history" (in Chinese). Institute of Vertebrate Paleontology and Paleoanthropology. Retrieved 2020-03-16. Cite journal requires |journal= (help)
- Greshko, Michael (2020). "The smallest known dinosaur is actually a peculiar ancient lizard". *National Geographic*. Retrieved 2021-06-12.
- Witton, Mark (2020). "The ugly truth behind *Oculudentavis*". *MarkWitton.com Blog*. Retrieved 2020-03-16.
- Xing, L.; O'Connor, J. K.; Schmitz, L.; Chiappe, L. M.; McKellar, R. C.; Yi, Q.; Li, G. (2020). "Retraction Note: Hummingbird-sized dinosaur from the Cretaceous period of Myanmar". *Nature*. **584** (7822): 652. Bibcode:2020Natur.584..652X. doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2553-9. PMID 32699407.
- Geggel, Laura. "Hummingbird-size dinosaur may actually be a lizard". *Live Science*. Retrieved 2020-07-24.
- Sapunar, Leto (2020). "A big Nature study on a tiny dinosaur is being retracted". *Retraction Watch*. Retrieved 2020-07-28.
- Viglione, Giuliana (2020). "World's smallest dinosaur is probably a lizard". *Nature*. doi:10.1038/d41586-020-02214-7. PMID 32704106. Retrieved 2020-08-06.

### Geology and dating

- Shi, G.; Grimaldi, D. A.; Harlow, G. E.; Wang, J.; Wang, J.; Yang, M.; Lei, W.; Li, Q.; Li, X. (2012). "Age constraint on Burmese amber based on U-Pb dating of zircons" (PDF). *Cretaceous Research*. **469**: 155–163. doi:10.1016/j.cretres.2012.03.014. Retrieved 2020-03-16.
- Westerweel, J.; Roperch, P.; Licht, A.; Dupont-Nivet, G.; Win, Z.; Poblete, F.; Ruffet, G.; Swe, H.; Kai Thi, M.; Wa Aung, D. (2019). "Burma Terrane part of the Trans-Tethyan Arc during collision with India according to palaeomagnetic data". *Nature Geoscience*. **12** (10): 863–868. Bibcode:2019NatGe..12..863W. doi:10.1038/s41561-019-0443-2. PMC 6774779. PMID 31579400.
- Metcalfe, I. (2017). "Tectonic evolution of Sundaland". *Bulletin of the Geological Society of Malaysia*. **63**: 27–60. doi:10.7186/bgsm63201702.

### Paleoecology

- Xing, L.; Stanley, E. L.; Bai, M.; Blackburn, D. C. (2018). "The earliest direct evidence of frogs in wet tropical forests from Cretaceous Burmese amber". *Scientific Reports*. **8** (1): Article number 8770. Bibcode:2018NatSR...8.8770X. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-26848-w. PMC 6002357. PMID 29904068.
- Poinar, G. (2018). "Burmese amber: evidence of Gondwanan origin and Cretaceous dispersion". *Historical Biology*: 1–6. doi:10.1080/08912963.2018.1446531. S2CID 90373037.
- Matsumoto, R.; Evans, S. E. (2018). "The first record of albanerpetontid amphibians (Amphibia: Albanerpetontidae) from East Asia". *PLOS ONE*. **13** (1): e0189767. Bibcode:2018PLoSO..1389767M. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0189767. PMC 5752013. PMID 29298317.
- Arnold, E. N.; Poinar, G. (2008). "A 100 million year old gecko with sophisticated adhesive toe pads, preserved in amber from Myanmar" (PDF). *Zootaxa*. **1847**: 62–68. doi:10.11646/zootaxa.1847.1.5. Retrieved 2020-03-16.

### Kachin conflict and its effect on paleontology

- Joel, L. (2020). "Some Paleontologists Seek Halt to Myanmar Amber Fossil Research". *The New York Times*. Retrieved 2020-03-13.
- Gammon, K. (2019). "The Human Cost of Amber". *The Atlantic*. Retrieved 2020-03-14.
- "On Burmese Amber and Fossil Repositories: SVP Members' Cooperation Requested!". Society of Vertebrate Paleontology. 21 April 2020. Retrieved 2020-07-22.
- "Amber Resources". Society of Vertebrate Paleontology. 22 July 2020. Retrieved 2020-07-22.

## Further reading

---

### Bird evolution

- Padian, K.; Chiappe, Luis M. (1998). "The Origin of Birds and Their Flight". *Scientific American*. **278** (2): 38–47. Bibcode:1998SciAm.278b..38P. doi:10.1038/scientificamerican0298-38. PMID 9472492. Retrieved 2020-03-16.
- Dingus, L.; Rowe, T. (1997). *The Mistaken Extinction: Dinosaur Evolution and the Origin of Birds*. New York: W. H. Freeman and Company. ISBN 0-7167-2944-X.
- Olson, S.L (1985). "The fossil record of birds". In Farner, D. S.; King, J. R.; Parkes, Kenneth C. (eds.). *Avian Biology*. **8**. New York: Academic Press. pp. 79–238. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-249408-6.50011-X. ISBN 978-0-12-249408-6.

## External links

---

### Press releases

- Press release about *Oculudentavis* - *Scientific American*
- Press release about *Oculudentavis* - Phys.org
- Press release about *Oculudentavis* - *National Geographic*

### Other links

- A Tetrapod Zoology article on *Oculudentavis*, including some discussion on the controversy
- *Oculudentavis* on YouTube – Nature Video (3:32; 11 March 2020)